

In re Application of
Masaki Morimatsu
Takeo Ito

App. No.: 09/723016
Filed: November 27, 2000
Conf. No.: 5642
Title: COMPONENT OF A ROTATING
ELECTRICAL MACHINE
Examiner: K. Addison
Art Unit: 2834

URGENT REQUEST FOR RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Arlington, VA 22313-1450

An Oral Hearing was held in this Appeal on July 11, 2006 and the Board rendered a decision on July 28, 2006 confirming arguments raised by the Board at the oral hearing regarding the definition of the terms "integral" and "molded". The decision adopted the definitions of these terms and thus affirmed the Examiner's rejections applying definitions that were not really adopted by the Examiner. Thus appellant respectfully requested on August 9, 2006 either a rehearing in this appeal, or preferably a reconsideration of the Board's Decision dated July 28, 2006, to present certain evidence to rebut the definition of the terms "integral" and "molded" as raised by the Board during the Oral Hearing on July 11, 2006 and relied upon in its decision.

The period for appealing this decision to the CAFC technically will expire on September 28, 2006 and it would be unfortunate if the undersigned would have to so appeal the case before the Board responds. Therefore the Board is most respectfully requested to at least reopen the prosecution to avoid a premature appeal. Please call the undersigned so that he can decide how to proceed without losing the applicants' rights to have this issue determined.

Respectfully submitted:



Ernest A. Beutler
Reg. No. 19901

Phone (949) 721-1182
Pacific Time